

Chair:
Councillor George Meehan

Deputy Chair:
Councillor Lorna Reith

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report covers matters considered by the Cabinet at our meeting on 17 July 2007. For ease of reference the Report is divided into the Cabinet portfolios.
- 1.2 We trust that this Report will be helpful to Members in their representative role and facilitate a fruitful dialogue between the Cabinet and all groups of Councillors. These reports are a welcome opportunity for the Cabinet on a regular basis to present the priorities and achievements of the Cabinet to Council colleagues for consideration and comment. The Cabinet values and encourages the input of fellow members.

ITEMS OF REPORT

Community Cohesion and Involvement

2. UPDATED AND REVISED EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES POLICY

- 2.1 The Council's Equal Opportunities Policy was published in 1999 and set out our policy for equalities and diversity. We considered a report which advised us that the Policy needed to be revised to include new equalities legislation and to ensure that the equalities policy was linked to the new Equalities Scheme. The updated version reflected a change in emphasis together with national and local priorities. The equalities monitoring categories had been amended, so that they complied with current equalities legislation and Commission for Racial Equality Guidance.
- 2.2 We noted the Equalities Team would continue to provide training to existing staff and induction to all new staff on the Equal Opportunities Policy and the Equalities Scheme. The Equalities Team would also continue to work with the Organisational Development and Learning service to ensure that all training and development providers promoted the Council's Equal Opportunities Policy.
- 2.3 We report that we endorsed the revised Equal Opportunities Policy as proposed and approved the amended equalities monitoring categories. A version of the revised Policy has been published on the Council's Website and on Harinet.

Children and Young People

3. CHILDREN'S CENTRES – SUSTAINING AND DEVELOPING THE PROVISION

- 3.1 The Council will be aware that the development of Children Centres supports the Government's policy to achieve the outcomes set out in the Children Act 2006. Many of the key objectives within 'Changing Lives' (Children and Young People's Plan 2006-09) were to be supported by an effective Early Childhood Service incorporating children's centre services. The development would also be a mechanism for delivering the key components of the Children's National Service Framework, reducing health inequalities

and ensuring that children had good physical and mental health as a necessary foundation for learning and development.

- 3.2 Haringey had progressed well with the Children's Centre programme having 10 designated and 8 more in the design process. Our success had been recognised by the Sure Start Unit. However, robust business planning was needed to create a more transparent funding strategy and to ensure that all centres were financially viable and in this connection we considered a report which advised us that the building of the Phase 2 centres was taking place in the absence of any guarantee from the DfES of revenue funding for April 2008/9 onwards which led to a degree of risk. This risk was mitigated to some degree by the fact that the capital spend in Phase 2 was relatively small and the provision could have alternative use given that the Children and Young Peoples Service was working to bring together a 0-14 strategy that would draw the play service into a more coherent service delivery plan with the potential to merge budgets.
- 3.3 A further risk was the running costs arising from the Phase 2 capital programme. The risk for a school governing body was that it would have additional capital works with no specific or additional revenue support to fund any running costs. This risk was considered low given the nature of the capital outlay and it was thought likely that the DSG formula would provide the basic running costs of any additional space arising from Phase 2.
- 3.4 The opportunity to facilitate the provider market, as required by the Childcare Act 2006, had been explored and, in relation to the five Phase 1 centres run by the Children and Young Peoples Service, it was proposed a soft market test be commenced, as part of the procurement process, to gauge market interest in operating the Council run centres.
- 3.5 The robust business planning and financial strategy proposed in the report would enable cash limits to be set for Council run Children's Centres for 2008/9 and to provide a financial framework with expectations of good budget management. Greater account would be taken of the needs of vulnerable children and more funding would be targeted at frontline services. We were informed that the procurement of other providers from the Private, Voluntary and Independent sector would be cost effective.
- 3.6 Having noted the absence of any guarantee of funding from the DfES for 2008/9, we were also informed that all scenarios modelled for 2008-9 (best, median and worst) presented the Council with additional funding gaps. In meeting the challenges created by potential grant reductions next year the Children and Young People's Service would have regard to:
- The Council's discretion to use the DSG (Non ISB element) to fund elements of the CC service. Any reduction in GSSG grant could be matched by increased reliance on DSG however this would inevitably affect the other beneficiaries of this grant;
 - Reviewing opportunities for reducing costs and maximising income. This would include the scope to create efficiencies through rationalising staffing structures and business processes wherever possible;
 - Establishing principles to ensure maximum DfES funding was used for Children Centre service delivery;
 - Achieving the reach targets agreed in December 2006. The sufficiency assessment to be completed by March 2008 would review the proposed locations and suggest any

revisions as appropriate. DfES had indicated that providing the reach targets were met there would be no reduction in grant funding if fewer Children Centres were required.

- 3.7 We report that having considered the risk from the possible reductions in DfES General Sure Start Grant (GSSG) in 2008/9 we agreed to the continuation of the Phase 2 capital programme of Children's Centres and, having regard to the impact of any loss of GSSG funding, the revenue funding position and the plans for a robust business and financial strategy for 2008/9 onwards we asked that an update report be submitted to us as soon as the funding position became known. We also granted approval to the commencement of the procurement process to invite providers to operate the 5 Children's Centres managed by the Children and Young People's Service in line with the expectation in the Childcare Act 2006 that the Council be a strategic 'market maker' of childcare and not provider.

4. SCHOOL PLACE PLANNING ANNUAL REPORT

- 4.1 We considered a report which updated us on the progress in moves to ensure sufficient school places to meet local demand and which summarised the most recent school rolls projections. The overall conclusions of the report were as follows:

- At primary level, the provision of 120 new places in the west of the borough at Coldfall (an additional 30) Tetherdown (an additional 30) and Coleridge (an additional 60) should provide sufficient capacity for the foreseeable future, although this would be reviewed annually. We now needed to see the impact of the expansion programme and PAN reductions on school rolls before any further action was taken. The most recent projections indicated the long-term trend in primary school rolls continued to be upwards;
- At secondary level, the Council's bid for a new community secondary school had been successful. The school would be ready to open for September 2010. The most recent projections indicated the long-term trend in school rolls continued to be upwards, further supporting the need for this additional secondary school provision. Demand for school places in the upper year groups had outstripped supply. We had been working with schools and CONEL to allow Year 10 & 11 newly arrived pupils to gain school places.
- At the special schools demand exceeded capacity at Moselle, William C Harvey and The Vale. Blanche Neville was experiencing declining demand and rolls. The consultation on the reorganisation of Moselle and William C Harvey special schools had begun in May 2007. This consultation was the first stage of developing primary and secondary Inclusive Learning Campuses.
- A new reporting arrangement for statutory consultations was proposed due to the enforcement of the Education and Inspections Act 2006. If no objections were received during the statutory representation period, then the proposal would be implemented. If objections were received to a statutory proposal the Schools Admission Forum would consider the proposal with regard to the statutory guidance and make recommendations. The Schools Admission Forum's recommendations would be passed to us for consideration before the final determination was made.

- 4.2 We report that, having noted that in addition to the areas of development in Tottenham Hale listed in the report, reference was made to a further housing development of thirty 3 or 4 bedroom units at Ferry Lane estate. Reference was also made to the large number of developments in Planning Area 1 (Alexandra, Fortis Green and Muswell Hill) which comprised 9 houses with four or five bedroom units and the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People indicated that she would take up with officers whether these developments could also be included in planning projections. We were informed that the Schools Admission Forum had recommended that close monitoring of school places in Planning Area 1 be included as a work priority.
- 4.3 We agreed that, subject to the addition of close monitoring of the shortage of school places in Planning Area 1, the following as working priorities with any recommendations arising from this work being presented in July 2008 -
- The school role and lack of surplus situation in Northumberland Park School
 - Understanding the impact of international migration on school roles
 - Continue to work with planners to understand the impact of the Tottenham Hale and Heartlands building developments
 - Continued monitoring of overall pupil place demand.
- 4.4 Under the Education and Inspections Act 2006 which came into force in May 2007 the School Organisation Committee was abolished which meant the Local Authority took over the existing functions of that Committee. Part of the Local Authority's new role included decision making about the establishment, alteration and closure of any maintained mainstream, special and nursery school and the Local Authority would also have extended powers to propose the enlargement of the premises and the addition or discontinuance of SEN provision. In order to allow the Local Authority to take on the functions of the School Organisation Committee, we also agreed that if no objections were received during the statutory representation period, than the proposal be implemented. If objections were received to a statutory proposal the Schools Admission Forum (SAF) would consider the proposal with regard to the statutory guidance and make recommendations. The SAF's recommendations would be passed to the Council's Cabinet for consideration before the final determination was made. The different constitutional groups formally found on the SOC were also represented on the SAF and by sending statutory proposals to the SAF to consider and make recommendations the knowledge and experience gained by SOC members would not be lost.
- 4.5 We agreed further that another report on school places be presented to us in July 2008.

5. HOME TO SCHOOL TRAVEL

- 5.1 The Council's home to school travel policy had its foundations in the 1944 Education Act was not means tested and was mainly assessed on the availability of suitable provision. We considered a report which advised us that this was no longer sufficient following reforms contained within the Education and Inspections Act 2006 which proposed home to school policies for primary and secondary school pupils from September 2007 and September 2008 respectively. The report was concerned exclusively with pupils travelling

to and from maintained schools and did not include pupils with special educational needs, disabilities or mobility difficulties.

- 5.2 We noted that the report also sought approval to an extension of entitlement to support which was necessary as part of the new duties on local authorities to ensure the promotion of free sustainable travel to all qualifying pupils under the extended rights of pupils and young people who were resident in Haringey and were attending primary or secondary schools.
- 5.3 We report that we approved the amended policies for supporting travelling from home to school in order to ensure that the Council's compliance with their statutory duties. A copy of the policies has been published on the Council's web site and on Harinet as part of the agenda for our meeting.

6. CABINET RESPONSE TO THE SCRUTINY REVIEW OF EXTENDED SERVICES IN AND AROUND SCHOOLS

- 6.1 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee established a Scrutiny Review Panel to consider the current position in Haringey regarding the development and provision of extended services in and around schools to ensure that there was a good use of existing resources, thereby providing value for money.
- 6.2 We considered a report which advised us that the Review had concentrated on the areas of the advantages resulting from schools providing extended services; the Government's targets and the advice given by them and other bodies; and the action being taken by the Council and local schools to implement the Government's targets. The Review had made a total of five recommendations on -
- The problems and restraints in providing extended facilities and possible solutions;
 - The way in which services needed to meet demand were identified in consultation with the local community, taking account of the local authority's strategic role, the process for determining how shortfalls should be met and new services were sustainable; and
 - The specific action being taken to reach disadvantaged children, people with special needs and hard to reach groups.
- 6.3 Having noted that since the completion of the Scrutiny Panel's Review a letter had been received from the Director of the Schools Resources Group including on the question of funding arrangements for extended schools which meant that one of the Panel's recommendations had been addressed. We report that we approved the proposed response to the Review which agreed in principle to the other recommendations and we asked that those recommendations be progressed by the Children and Young People's Service with reports at regular intervals to the Cabinet and to the Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board.

Housing

7. CHANGES TO THE SHELTERED HOUSING TENANCY AGREEMENT

- 7.1 The Council will be aware that in order to amend the tenancy agreement, the prescribed procedure for variation under Section 103 of the Housing Act 1985 had to be followed. This required that a preliminary notice of intention to vary the tenancy agreement be served on all Supported Housing tenants with comments in response invited. In making its decision on changes to the tenancy agreement, the Council was required to take into account a summary of tenants' comments.
- 7.2 We considered a report which summarised comments received from Supported Housing tenants, Councillors and officers in response to a preliminary notice of intention to vary the tenancy agreement, issued in January 2007. The report summarised comments received and, based on those comments and in line with the prescribed procedure, the report set out recommended amendments to the proposed tenancy agreement.
- 7.3 We report that, having noted the comments submitted we agreed the amendments recommended to us and approved the proposed final new tenancy agreement.

Environment and Conservation

8. SEVEN SISTERS CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE EXTENSION AND BRUCE GROVE CONTROLLED PARKING ZONE – REPORT OF STATUTORY CONSULTATION

- 8.1 We considered a report which informed us of the results of the statutory consultation undertaken for the proposed extension of the Seven Sisters CPZ and the proposed creation of a Bruce Grove CPZ, which was carried out in June 2007 following feedback from the review of the Seven Sisters CPZ conducted in October and November 2006. The report set out officer responses to the objections made during the period of statutory consultation by interested parties for consideration before a decision on the scheme was made.
- 8.2 We noted that when introducing parking controls the Council had to give due regard to various factors including traffic issues and the interests of the owners and occupiers of properties in the affected roads. The factors which needed to be considered included -
- the need to maintain free movement of traffic:
 - the need to maintain reasonable access to premises:
 - road safety:
 - impact on local amenities:
 - air quality: and
 - the passage of public service vehicles.
- 8.3 It was clear from the responses received during the statutory process that the main objections to the introduction of the Bruce Grove CPZ were from residents of Ranelagh Road and Napier Road. Although these roads initially objected to the scheme during the review stage it was felt that they must be included should the scheme progress due to

their location in the proposed CPZ and the inevitable displacement parking they would experience. However, it was noted that patrons visiting Grace Baptist Church located on Napier Road, might experience parking problems should the scheme progress and shared use residents only / pay and display bays would be provided in close proximity to the Church to lessen any impact.

- 8.4 We were informed that during statutory consultation requests had been received for the proposed Bruce Grove CPZ to be extended into neighbouring roads, in particular St Margaret's Road and St Loy's Road, but that at this time there was insufficient evidence to suggest that there was a high level of support from residents of these roads to warrant consideration. Another issue raised during statutory consultation was in regard to the problems travellers might experience on Clyde Road, as their large vehicles were not permitted to park within residents bays. However, this would require a policy decision and it was not currently proposed to relax this criteria as it would require a borough wide review.
- 8.5 During the course of our deliberations, concern was expressed about the adequacy of the 'pay and display' provision to be made for patients attending the G.P. surgery in Tynemouth Road in particular and, more generally, for parents with children attending Children's Centres located in Controlled Parking Zone's, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Conservation indicated that he would take these matters back to the officers for consideration whilst noting that there were a number of groups in respect of whom a special case might be made. It was confirmed that the extension now proposed would be implemented by the end of September 2007.
- 8.6 We were advised that the proposals were in line with our Parking Enforcement Plan and Road Safety Strategy as contained within the Local Implementation Plan and that it was the view of our officers that the proposed scheme would provide a net benefit to local residents and businesses. Having duly considered the responses to statutory consultation outlined we resolved to authorise officers to take all necessary steps for the implementation of the Seven Sisters CPZ extension in the following roads –
- Lawrence Road from the junction with Philip Lane to the junction with Clyde Road;
 - Clyde Road from the junction of Lawrence Road with the boundary of Clyde Road CPZ.

We also authorised the officers to proceed with the introduction of a Bruce Grove CPZ operational from Monday – Saturday, 8 a.m. – 6.30 p.m. in the following roads -

- Winchelsea Road – All
- Greyhound Road – All
- Raneleagh Road - All
- Belton Road - All
- Napier Road from the junction with Philip Lane to junction with Belton Road
- Morrison Avenue from the junction Loxwood Road to the junction with Belton Road
- Loxwood Road – All

- Mount Pleasant Road from the junction with Philip Lane to the junction with Loxwood Road

We also approved the modification/amendment of parking bays and waiting restrictions in the existing Seven Sisters CPZ and to residents being informed of the foregoing decisions.

9. CABINET RESPONSE TO SCRUTINY REVIEW OF FLY TIPPING

- 9.1 The Council will be aware that there is a great deal of national and local concern about the environmental and financial cost of fly tipping. A Scrutiny Review was commissioned following suggestions from residents and Members who were concerned that the efforts made to improve the environmental quality of the Borough were being undermined by the fly tipping of residential and commercial waste.
- 9.2 In carrying out the review the Scrutiny Panel consulted widely with local and regional stakeholders, looked at the law relating to fly tipping and assessed the current situation in Haringey in terms of how much, where and what was being fly tipped. The Review examined the preventative action being taken by the Council under the same criteria as those used in the research into fly tipping carried out by the Jill Dando Institute, namely –
- increasing the effort;
 - increasing the risk;
 - reducing the rewards;
 - reducing provocations; and
 - removing the excuses.
- 9.3 The Council's activity in relation to prevention and clearance of fly tipping was carried out by teams based in the Enforcement Service and Waste Management Service. These two services worked together and with outside agencies and contractors to achieve the outcomes required for Haringey.
- 9.4 We noted that the Review of fly tipping in Haringey had demonstrated that the Waste Management and Enforcement Services were working well together and in partnership with outside agencies and contractors to prevent and enforce against fly tipping. Nevertheless, the Review also made clear the need not only for this work to continue but also to find new and better ways of working to ensure that this problem was controlled and reduced. The new Best Value Performance Indicator 199d had been introduced to measure how well local authorities performed at dealing with fly tipping. This measure would compare, year on year, progress at reducing the number of fly tips alongside the number and type of enforcement actions taken against offenders. The indications were that Haringey's score for 2006/07 would be classed as 'Good', reflecting the work already being done to deal with fly tipping and this was recognised in the findings of the Scrutiny Review Panel.
- 9.5 We report that, in total, the Review contained 18 recommendations 11 of which we agreed and a further 5 we agreed in principle. One was agreed in part and 1 was not agreed. The recommendation which we could not agree related to the reduction or removal of the charge for bulky waste collection for those on low incomes, benefits and

the elderly and, if the cost of administering such a scheme proved too expensive, to consideration being given to abolishing charges completely. In the past we did operate a universal free bulky item collection service but the service had not operated satisfactorily and had been discontinued. A free collection of bulky waste was provided through the white goods and hazardous waste collection service, community clear-ups, green waste collection as part of the recycling service. Bulky items could also be taken to two Reuse and Recycling Centres for free disposal.

- 9.6 We report that most of the recommendations could be implemented within existing budgets but that others required either the continuation of existing grant funding or new investment by the Council in revenue and capital funding that had not yet been identified. In approving the response to the Review we agreed that the recommendations be incorporated within the Street Scene and Enforcement Business Plans where appropriate, and those requiring additional funding be considered as part of the budget setting process in 2008/09.

Resources

10. FINANCIAL PLANNING 2008/09 – 2010/11

- 10.1 The Council will be aware that our current financial strategy covers the four year period 2007/08 to 2010/11. The strategy reflects our policy aspirations to achieve excellence in service delivery and to create a deeper sense of place. This was to be done whilst at the same time constraining the impact on Council Tax via the vigorous pursuit of efficiency savings. We had a sound position on which to base this ambition having achieved three stars in the 2006 Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA).
- 10.2 The context for the previous and current strategy had been severe constraint on non-schools resources. As a result of Government changes to resource distribution, the Council had received the 'floor' (lowest possible) increase in grant for the five years from 2003/04 to 2007/08. It had therefore not shared in the increases in total Government funding which were made available over this period.
- 10.3 The 2006/07 local government settlement covered two years and included the current year 2007/08. We considered a report which advised us that there was significant uncertainty from 2008/09 onwards and current planning was based on target Council Tax increases of 3.0% (in line with the manifesto commitment of no more than 3%). We noted the Government's determination to use capping powers to keep Council Tax increases low and in this context we also noted that current plans include £5 million of efficiency savings in 2008/09 and 2009/10 which had yet to be identified.
- 10.4 The Government's current system of resource allocation (formula grant) was complex and, in our view, understated Haringey's relative needs. There were a number of issues of particular significance:
- estimates of **population**, which underpinned the system appeared flawed, particularly in respect of under-enumeration and migration for Haringey;

- the **area cost adjustment** reflected local wage cost pressures relative to others, the grant system did not properly reflect the local position in Haringey as we were grouped as an outer London borough;
- under representation of costs and need on **Children’s Social Care and Younger Adults**, the reduced weighting for deprivation in the formula from 2006/07 resulted in a significant shift of resources away from London;
- the higher cost of **concessionary fares** in London did not appear to be matched by resources through the formula, and;
- revenue funding for Government-supported borrowing within the **capital financing** part of the formula was not transparent in the system and penalised authorities that were on the grant floor.

10.5 These individual issues and others such as costs of asylum, had been raised with Ministers and there was to be a formal consultation process over the summer months in terms of possible formula changes. Having noted that the report was designed to set out financial planning issues to enable us to consider future financial strategy we approved the financial position for planning purposes as stated in it as well as budget changes and variations. We also approved the proposed business planning process the key dates of which are set out in the table below.

Activity	Date
Key priorities stated in Council plan	Jun 07
Cabinet consider financial planning report	Jul 07
Pre-business plan review (PBPR) preparation	Jul – Sep 07
PBPR officer review process	Sep 07
Star Chamber meetings	Early Oct 07
Comprehensive Spending Review 2007 released	Oct 07
Cabinet agree release of PBPRs for consultation	Nov 07
Budget consultation process including Overview & Scrutiny Committee	Dec 07
Cabinet consider draft settlement	Dec 07
Cabinet agree budget package	Jan 08
Council agree budget package and council tax	Feb 08
Finalise individual business plans and overall Council Plan	Apr 08

11. TRANSFER AND ADJUSTMENT OF HIGHWAY BOUNDARY AND EXCHANGE OF LAND AT ALEXANDRA PRIMARY SCHOOL

- 11.1 We considered a report which proposed a basis for proceeding with the Heartlands Spine Road with appropriation and exchange of land at Alexandra School land in line with agreements reached between the Highways Service, the Children and Young People’s Service and the School.
- 11.2 We report that we agreed to the adjustment of the highway boundary detailed in the report and approved the appropriation of land from the Children and Young People’s Service to Highways for highway purposes and the appropriation of other land from

Highways to the Children and Young People's Service to be used as part of Alexandra Primary School.

Leader

12. PROGRAMME HIGHLIGHT REPORT

12.1 We received the programme highlight which provided details of all the Council's corporately significant internal and external projects that report through the Council's programme structure. The information in the report was taken from detailed project highlight reports for each project that have been agreed by the respective Project Boards. We were informed that because of the reporting cycle, there was a time lag in the information presented and the report submitted showed projects' status at the end of May 2007. We were also informed that the style of reporting had been changed to give a clearer overview of the projects within the Programme with Red, Amber and Green status and financial information presented in a dashboard style. Furthermore, the finance figures within the report were now aligned with the figures presented in the budget monitoring report.

13. THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE – APRIL AND MAY 2007

13.1 We noted the new style scorecard illustrating progress against Council priorities and that, overall, the average across all the five priorities showed that 81% of indicators were achieving or close to achieving targets even at this early point in the year up from 71% at the same point last year. We also noted that good progress was being made across all the priorities particularly encouraging life time well-being where 91% of indicators were either green or amber and achieving excellent services where 82% were green or amber as at May.

13.2 We were informed that 72% of indicators had maintained or improved performance since the end of 2006/07 and that some areas where performance had shown improvement recently included -

- Street Cleanliness –Levels of litter and detritus
- Waste recycled or composted
- Reduction in the number of people killed and seriously injured
- Waiting times for assessment of older people
- Stage 1 Complaints and Member enquiries
- NHS & Community Care Act Stage 1 responses
- Invoices paid in 30 days
- Average speed of processing new benefit claims
- Rent collection
- Customer Services performance

13.3 However, we were also informed that there were a number of areas where performance had declined and where more attention needed to be focussed in particular -

- Waste tonnage collected

- Overall number of crimes
- Young people not in Education Employment or Training (NEETs)
- Direct payments
- Average length of stay in hostels
- Stage 2 Complaints
- Housing rent arrears

13.4 We report that we granted approval to the basket of indicators to be monitored for 2007/08 together with the new format of the balanced scorecard to show progress against Council priorities and agreed the virements set out below.

Period	Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Description
1	Chief Executives	Cap*	4,396		NDC funded capital spend for the Seven Sisters partnership
1	Chief Executives	Cap*	1,689		External approval received to carry forward ERDF grant that funds some UCCG projects
1	All Services	Rev*	565	565	Transfer of budgets to Property Services re Corporate Management of property.
1	Children & Young People, Corporate Resources	Rev*	414	414	Children & Young People's finance service to be recharged through overhead charges in future.
1	Chief Executives, Adults Culture & Community	Rev*	402	402	Transfer of funding for various groups from CES to ACC
1	Chief Executives	Rev*	1,899		NDC revenue spending approval
2	Urban Environment		4,904	4,904	Adjustment of the housing ring fence budget due to 5 per cent reduction in benefits thresholds and the TA reduction required by DCLG
2	ACC, Urban Environment	Rev	52	52	Transfer of Hearthstone project from Children & Young People to UE (Housing)
2	All Services	Rev*	7,862		NRF funded programme
2	All Services	Rev*	1,205		SSCF revenue funded spend
2	All Services	Cap*	1,091		SSCF funded projects
2	All Services	Rev	37	37	Transfer of additional IT hardware maintenance costs to IT from services
2	Chief Executives	Rev*	511		Home Office Young People substance misuse partnership grant funded
2	Chief Executives	Rev*	285		Laurels Health centre rental income to fund projects

Period	Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Description
2	Urban Environment	Cap*	609		GAF funding for Spine Road project
2	Urban Environment	Cap	9		CABE funding for Tottenham hale Village project
2	Urban Environment	Cap*	880		Mortuary relocation funded from prudential borrowing (£500k), capital receipts (£305k) and RCCO (£75k).
2	Urban Environment	Cap	111		Revised costs of Mortuary project
2	Urban Environment	Rev	148		Smoke free legislation work funded by external grant
2	Urban Environment	Cap*	401		TFL funded projects
2	Urban Environment	Cap*	424		LCN projects funded by additional grant from TFL
2	Urban Environment	Cap	155		Section 106 funding for College Road, N17 project
2	Urban Environment	Cap	115		Section 106 funding for 725-733 Lordship Lane (£25k), 6-8 James Place, N17 (£15k) and High Street to Hornsey Station project (£75k)

Key decisions are highlighted by an asterisk in the table.

14. DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS

14.1 We were informed of one significant action taken by a Director under delegated powers -

Director of the Children and Young People's Service

Establishment Changes to the Building Schools for the Future Team